

# SATURN Session Summary Use of the SEI ATAM® in Practice

Felix Bachmann  
May 1<sup>st</sup>, 2008



**Software Engineering Institute** | **Carnegie Mellon**

© 2008 Carnegie Mellon University  
Sponsored by the  
U.S. Department of Defense

Report Documentation Page				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.					
1. REPORT DATE <b>01 MAY 2008</b>		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVERED <b>00-00-2008 to 00-00-2008</b>	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE <b>SATURN Session Summary Use of the SEI ATAM in Practice</b>				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER	
				5e. TASK NUMBER	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) <b>Carnegie Mellon University ,Software Engineering Institute (SEI),Pittsburgh,PA,15213</b>				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT <b>Approved for public release; distribution unlimited</b>					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES <b>presented at the SEI Software Architecture Technology User Network (SATURN) Workshop, 30 Apr ? 1 May 2008, Pittsburgh, PA.</b>					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT <b>Same as Report (SAR)</b>	18. NUMBER OF PAGES <b>10</b>	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON
a. REPORT <b>unclassified</b>	b. ABSTRACT <b>unclassified</b>	c. THIS PAGE <b>unclassified</b>			

# *The SEI's Point of View*

---

The purpose of the Architecture Tradeoff Analysis Method is to assess the consequences of architectural decisions in light of quality attribute requirements and business goals.

What are the problems people try to solve using the ATAM?

How is the ATAM used and/or modified to provide answers to those problems?

*Can we support customization of the ATAM  
by keeping the predictability of the results?*



# Architecture Evaluation Presentations

---

## ***Challenges and Observations of Applying the SEI ATAM to a Software Testing Automation Solution***

Fernando Enobi & Reginaldo Arakaki, Instituto de Pesquisas Tecnológicas de São Paulo

## ***Architecture Curve, New Formatted SEI ATAM Report Shaped in a Single Graph***

Haeran Youn, Samsung Electronics

## ***Realizing the Business Value of IT: An Approach for Architecture Evaluation***

Opal Perry, Wells Fargo & Company

## ***Inexpensive ATAM-Peer Review Detects and Fixes Architecture Problems Early***

Howard Forstrom, ITT Corporation

## ***Applying SEI Architecture Tradeoff Analysis Method (ATAM) as Part of Formal Software Architecture Review***

Christopher Byrnes, The MITRE Corporation



# Challenges and Observations of Applying the SEI ATAM to a Software Testing Automation Solution

---

Fernando Enobi & Reginaldo Arakaki, Instituto de Pesquisas Tecnológicas de São Paulo

When applying the ATAM, stopped in phase 1 in the “identify architecture approaches” step.

- Architecture documentation not in a state to allow reasoning about the quality attribute
- No connection to the business goals

Added steps in phase 0 to explicitly deal with getting the documentation ready for ATAM.

- Used “Preview of utility tree” and “preview of architecture approaches”
- Linked scenarios to architecture documentation



# Architecture Curve, New Formatted SEI ATAM Report Shaped in a Single Graph

---

Haeran Youn, Samsung Electronics

Try to remove barrier to introduce quality attribute scenarios of QAW and ATAM.

- Used “scenario pools” to speed up scenario generation process.  
Stakeholders can “pick” existing scenarios
- Integrated steps to deal with legacy systems during QAW

Added diagram “architecture curve” as a single graph to show the ATAM results.

- Powerful instrument to convey ATAM to managers.



# Realizing the Business Value of IT: An Approach for Architecture Evaluation

---

Opal Perry, Wells Fargo & Company

ATAM applied in a large “system of systems” project.

- Required narrowing down the scope for utility tree generation. Used “Critical Business Processes” as root of utility tree
- Added more details to utility tree, such as thresholds and triggers

Had to invest more into upfront activities.



# Inexpensive ATAM-Peer Review Detects and Fixes Architecture Problems Early

---

Howard Forstrom, ITT Corporation

Integration of ATAM into the peer review process during the architecture design.

- Every peer review has limited scope. ATAM techniques used there quickly with limited effort.
- Instead of trying to find every risk, try to find a few important risks
- Focuses the architecture design team very early to think about quality attributes
- Well suited for spiral/iterative process





# Applying SEI ATAM as Part of Formal Software Architecture Review

---

Christopher Byrnes, The MITRE Corporation

Prepare program office for “Critical Design Review”

- Allowed with limited effort to focus program office on the important issues of the architecture
- Eliminated growth scenarios because for this exercise out of scope



# Common Themes

---

Integration of ATAM techniques and thinking into the architecture design process.

- Peer review a good hook
- Get ready for ATAM

Lightweight ATAM for specific contexts

- Limited scope
- By using ATAM in peer reviews, the ATAM itself can be done faster with less effort

Make ATAM results actionable

- Graphical representation
- Risk analysis





**Software Engineering Institute**

**Carnegie Mellon**